

Solving all crimes.

The best way to solve all crimes, as I started to talk about in this thread by accident, is to take a lot of light from the crime scene, and reverse the photons or whatever to get a picture of the criminal. Of course if you made it three dimensional, then you could fingerprint them, yes?

Now, if you were to take a picture - let's start with this? - then we could put it into a computer and reverse the light patterns for a day or two - how hard can this be? Well, seeing as how it hasn't been done yet, it might be quite hard.

So, we need to go to the atomic level for multiple beams of light, as they reflect off of each surface back into the world. If the pixel is on a 'path' then we can find that path easily and move it backwards. The trick is to take it to the atomic level, as that is very small, but, maybe the photo is not going to work?

If we were to take a computer with a camera out to the crime scene, we could get a three dimensional image, and get the path of the light, and, get the light to 'pause' with a 'marker' and then reverse it to the time of the crime. Then we will have a clear picture of the person, and, be able to fingerprint them.

I say this because light travels very fast, but, we are only getting light from like a few weeks or years ago. This means, the surface of the things are still coming through, so, I figure we could put it somewhere between the object that was actually there and the light that is still getting there. That said, I figure the light will 'surfaces' will remain for a while after the 'crime' and then we can get a picture and positive identity of the perp.

More on feeding the hungry.

There are a lot of hungry people in the world... even in first world cities. What they need is to either get employed or to be fed off of welfare, of course.

If the state was to feed them the cheapest foods, then that will put off the people that come there to get a [free](#) ride. This is cheap, but how do we finance it?

Maybe if we were to have the state buy cheapest foods in bulk, the price will go down. Then, they could raise funds for this by selling state buildings, and renting them out to themselves. This means the property will change hands, but not means. But we have already covered that, so...

If we were to give tax breaks to wheat producers for supplying more food for free, then they will come away with slightly more [money](#), and, will feel good about it hopefully, yes? I am sure the finance ministers can work something out with the farmers. This oversupply will be gobbled up by hungry people.

Improved service delivery.

Everyone pays taxes and expects to get something for it, namely service delivery. In some countries they have privatized service delivery, except for the police and things like that, so, it is fair to say that people pay for service delivery.

Now, what I want to propose makes sense - the less they take in thirty percent income tax, the more they will take in sales tax when the money is spent. The state needs to work out where it will take the most money, and capitalize on this, I am not sure what they will come up with, but back to service delivery.

If the police - let's start with them - work long hours and get a bad name everywhere - people calling them pigs and whatnot - then they need more job satisfaction. it is easy for the community to stand around pointing at them and blaming them for all the problems of the community, but it would be good if the state was to fund them with less, and provide a better service. they could do this by making the police like security companies - charging people directly for the service. your typical house burglary or murder goes unnoticed by the community, so they will not mind if there is no police coverage, as the person is dead or house robbed, and then the people that want to get the service pay for the service. this means the state will pay nothing for the police but rather handle this like a private affair. i mean, the police station will still be there if it is privatized, but the service will cost, and, the service taxed. this should cut costs by whole fractions from the state budget.

The fire department on the other hand needs to be there, as the people never know when there will be a fire. this should be like a typical tiny amount of the budget not bothering anybody. okay, so far we need firemen...

How about state pensions? if the elderly were to have their services downgraded, then they would see less [money](#) go further. of course, the elderly could still work from home starting a business, or a group of elderly could make a new business and be taxed on that income. this means that they could receive more state pension for starting a business, as a contributing member of society. think about it... 1000 rand a month become 1500 rand a month for their pension, and then the 10000 rand a month generated by the business would lead to income tax for the workers and business tax for the state.

What about garbage removal? this could also be privatized, leading to more income tax on the people doing the job and then the people could make deals for annual removal...

What about welfare? if the state was to still deliver this service, they would have saved on the other ones and be able to deliver this better. of course, the best welfare is to provide [jobs](#), so, they could instead of giving them money, give them tools as a once off thing for them to get jobs?

And now for hospitals... if the state hospitals were privatized, they could tax the doctors and the business at their preferred rates. if the hospitals were sold the state would have great capital to put into the bank or a money market, or even provide new facilities for jobs, selling those too!